



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,336	01/28/2004	Shyh-Mei F. Ho	SVL920030102US1	3271

45112 7590 04/08/2008
Kunzler & McKenzie
8 EAST BROADWAY
SUITE 600
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111

EXAMINER

ALVESTEFFER, STEPHEN D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2175

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

04/08/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/766,336	Applicant(s) HO ET AL.	
	Examiner Stephen Alvesteffer	Art Unit 2173	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Stephen Alvesteffer, examiner of record. (3) Mr. David J. McKenzie, Reg. #46,919, for applicant.
 (2) Tadesse Hailu, primary examiner. (4) Mr. Alec J. McGinn, for applicant.

Date of Interview: 02 April 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 29.

Identification of prior art discussed: Bach (5,781,739), Bach (6,141,660), and Francis (6,665,861).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representatives discussed differences between claimed invention and the prior art of record. The proposed amendment is believed to overcome the cited prior art. Further search will be required.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Tadesse Hailu/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2173

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
 Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required